2013.07.18 Data Meeting (Mallory, Nate, Holly, Nick, Tom, Paige)

-Data hub: health data, MPD incident data going in; working on Protect, BHD data and jail data; not started on DOC and HOC

-Develop framework, can't do analysis because no data sharing agreements

-Meeting on Friday – see demo, they're creating report based on pretrial data – therefore everything "J2K" could be populated on Scorecard

-Where to house data hub? What makes the most sense? What are costs?

-IMSD would need personnel to house hub. Next option would be city health department. But SysLogic says they don't have capacity. Could be at Marquette or DOJ. Need to make decision until it has a home, need to decide in next few weeks.

-Holly: Finance and DAS okay w/ taking leftover money and putting it in IMSD for pretrial data work.

-Mallory: 40k/yr not including personnel is cost

-Holly: EBDM is county thing, makes sense for county to have it

-Tom: funded by reinvestment strategies (saved money from DPAs, jail bed days etc) – more likely to drive reinvestment work if it's at county. County/city booking interface took a long time.

Holly: if we can use data hub in place of our pretrial queries, we can save money and use it for datahub

-Nick: would being at county help with access hurdles with BHD data? County attorneys sign off on other data, perhaps easier to sign off on BHD data.

-(Who oversees the data? Is there a data czar?) Mallory: can look at your own data and link with other data. Users can also do ad-hoc queries. There will be security in there, separate clearances for different people. Still have to work on governance. Lots of research opportunities. Data hub is larger than EBDM/CJC.

-Holly: Not sure, but new database looking good, timeline of at least 6 months

-Cost/benefit: need quality methodology, can start with presentation at TAD

-Mallory will have Michigan St Criminologist intern in fall or winter

-Have to think about where hub should be (gov, academic, nonprofit?)

-Mallory: Vision is hub sits between all academic institutions; bring in everyone

-Tom: where do we have sustainability and adaptability?

-Mallory: looking at location and governance options of hub, working with SysLogic to look at pros and cons, then can bring to EC. Could house at Marquette and get endowment, but not sure if it will work.

-CLU data is in pretrial database

-Paige: just came out of incident where group thought they owned data. Keep this in mind. Issue is 10 years from now where there's a rogue agent who controls data. Ownership rights are critical – so maybe housing in gov makes sense.

-Holly: county has big investment in alternative programming. For new pretrial system, charge user fee and put it in pot, have enough to maintain case management system. $1,500/yr for server, should talk to Andy Carrion about hub on county server. Would help for shared knowledge of hub and pretrial database.

-Tom: If you build something that supports research, it will draw people in and generate benefits. It should be in the county, but if that prevents academic partnerships that hurts.

-Mallory: Ownership – if you put data in hub, you own it.

-Paige: Who owns the hub?

-Mallory: Univ of Chicago has hub like this, they link data, not sure if they own data.

-Nick: Who owns the software? They are gatekeepers.

-Mallory: There is governance body.

-What does housing mean? Where server physically is. Who manages server. Who does linkages. Who monitors. Is hub subject to open records. Who monitors the hub?

-Mallory: There is no city or county money in here right now, if county is interested they should fund it.

-Could also have hub at PPF.

-"Center for Community Safety" that would house the hub and have researchers there looking at various issues.

-Marquette has $20 million dollar endowment. But Mallory's vision is not Marquette opportunity, it's community opportunity. But could still house it at the county.

-Negative at county is the political piece.

-Have focus groups with potential data providers.

-Is there "subscriber fee" for city, county etc. Need continuing support.

-Look to SysLogic for subject matter expertise on data sharing agreements; corp counsel.

-Possibly have one year agreement and see how it goes.

-SysLogic says decision by end of July to stay on track.

-Milwaukee Succeeds has interest in this data being in one place.

-Mallory will send out cost estimate and costs/benefits of different locations.